

REGIONAL DIRECTOR  
FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION  
IN CRACOW

Sweco Engineering Sp. z o.o.  
Received on: 01/22/2018  
Case no.: 176/4218/JM/15008

OO.4233.4.2016.BM

Cracow, 17 January 2018

## RESOLUTION

Acting based upon Article 113 (1) of the Act of 14 June 1960 Administrative Proceeding Code (*OJ of 2017, item 1257*)

### I decide to

correct – as requested by the parties – an obvious editorial mistake given in the decision of the Regional Director for Environmental Protection in Cracow dated 01/27/2017, ref. no.: OO.4233.4.2016.BM, on environmental conditions for the investment titled: **“Completion of redevelopment for flood embankments of the Vistula River in Cracow: Section 1 – left embankment of the Vistula River from the Wandy Bridge to the Przewóz Barrage, with backwater embankments of the Dłubnia River; Section 2 – left embankment of the Vistula River from the Przewóz Barrage to Suchy Jar”**, which comprised incorrect information on the embankment chainage for Section 1. Left Bank of the Vistula River (downstream of the inlet from the port channel) as follows:

in justification of the aforementioned **decision** given on page 5 and in **Appendix no. 1** to that decision – **“Specificity of the Investment”** given on page 2, in a table summarizing the chainage of embankments under the investment, along with corresponding working chainage, in column 4, line 8, it was given that the working chainage for the embankment is:

for Section 1. Left Bank of the Vistula River (downstream of the inlet from the port channel) – **“0+097”**.

**Whereas the proper value of the working chainage for the embankment shall amount to:**

for Section 1. Left Bank of the Vistula River (downstream of the inlet from the port channel) – **“3+317”**.

## JUSTIFICATION

An application for correction of the obvious editorial mistake was submitted by Mr. Jarosław Maciaś, Project Manager, Proxy for the Lesser Poland Board of Amelioration and Water Structures in Cracow, 73. Szlak Street, 31-153 Cracow, in the note dated 12/12/2017, ref. no.: HTK/ŁP/15008/3614/2016/17. The investment data sheet attached to the application on the issuance of environmental decision informed on page 7, table 1 summarizing the chainage of embankments under the investment, that in case of Section 1. Left Bank of the Vistula River (downstream of the intake of the port channel), the embankment chainage given in column 4, line 8 is “3+317”.

Therefore, the chainage of embankment under the investment given on page 5 of justification to **the decision** dated 01/27/2017, ref. no.: OO.4233.4.2016.BM, and in **Appendix no. 1** to that decision – **“Specificity of the Investment”** given on page 2, in a table summarizing the chainage of embankments under the investment, along with corresponding working chainage, in column 4, line 8, was mistakenly edited as “0+097”, and that it is corrected with this resolution.

In accordance with Article 113 (1) and with Article 126 of the Act of 14 June 1960 Administrative Proceeding Code, a unit of public administration may ex officio or upon a request of the party correct editorial and accounting mistakes and other obvious mistakes given in decisions issued by that unit through a resolution.

Taking into account the above statement, it was decided as given in the conclusion.

### **Instruction**

The parties may claim against this resolution to the General Director for Environmental Protection (52/54. Wawelska Street, 00-922 Warsaw) through the Regional Director for Environmental Protection in Cracow (25. Mogilska Street, 31-542 Cracow) within seven days from its serving date.

Regional Director  
for Environmental Protection  
in Cracow  
Rafał Rostecki MSc

### Recipients:

1. Mr. Jarosław Maciaś, SWECO Engineering Sp. z o.o., 30. Wielicka Street, 30-552 Cracow;
2. Other parties of the proceedings notified in the mode under Article 49 (1) APC.
3. OO.BM File.

REGIONAL DIRECTOR

FOR ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION

IN CRACOW

### ANNOUNCEMENT

Acting based upon Article 49 (1) of the Act of 14 June 1960 Administrative Proceeding Code (*OJ of 2017, item 1257*), due to Article 74 (3) of the Act of 3 October 2008 on disclosing information on the environment and its protection, public participation in environmental protection and environmental impact assessments (*OJ of 2017, item 1405*),

#### I notify the parties of proceedings

about issuing on 17 January 2018 a resolution correcting – based upon a request of the party – an obvious editorial mistake in the decision of the Regional Director for Environmental Protection in Cracow dated 01/27/2017, ref. no.: OO.4233.4.2016.BM, on environmental conditions for the investment titled: **“Completion of redevelopment for flood embankments of the Vistula River in Cracow: Section 1 – left embankment of the Vistula River from the Wandy Bridge to the Przewóz Barrage, with backwater embankments of the Dłubnia River; Section 2 – left embankment of the Vistula River from the Przewóz Barrage to Suchy Jar”**, for which the Investor is the Lesser Poland Board of Amelioration and Water Structures in Cracow, 73. Szlak Street, 31-153 Cracow, acting through a Proxy, Mr. Jarosław Maciaś, Sweco ENGINEERING Sp. z o.o., 30. Wielicka Street, 30-552 Cracow.

I moreover inform that contents of the aforementioned resolution may be read in the office of the Regional Directorate for Environmental Protection in Cracow (25. Mogilska Street, 31-542 Cracow, room no. 806) from 8.00 am to 3.00 pm.

The parties may claim against this resolution to the General Director for Environmental Protection (52/54. Wawelska Street, 00-922 Warsaw) through the Regional Director for Environmental Protection in Cracow (25. Mogilska Street, 31-542 Cracow) within 7 days from its serving date.

The serving is considered done after 14 days, counting from publication of this announcement.

pp. Regional Director

for Environmental Protection in Cracow

Bogdan Połomski MSc

Manager of the Environmental

Impact Assessment Department

Published on: .....

Removed on: .....

Office stamp

**Legal basis:**

- **Article 49 (1) APC:** *“If a special provision stipulates that, notification of the parties about decisions and other actions of the public administration unit may be done as a public announcement, in another form of public announcement commonly acceptable in a given locality, or through publication of the note in the Public Information Bulletin, at the site relevant for the proper public administration unit”;*
- **Article 74 (3) EIA Act:** *“If the number of parties in the proceedings on the issuance of a decision on environmental conditions – in case of withdrawal or modification, determination of nullity, determination of expiration for the decision, as discussed under item 1, or recommencement of proceedings for that decision – exceeds 20 then Article 49 of the Administrative Proceeding Code is applied”.*